

## MORECAME AND MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND FARMS PROJECT

Reference Number: 20052924

Representations in respect of Application for Development Consent Order on behalf Of Mrs L McNicholas, [REDACTED].

Representations Lodged by P D Dennis FRICS, FAAV of [REDACTED]

As Agents we wish to lodge representations on behalf of the above Landowner in respect of the proposed Morecambe and Morgan Offshore Wind Farms Development Consent Order.

1. There has been a lack of consultation on the Scheme to Landowners, Occupiers with limited discussions regarding access, cable routes, drainage, land restoration, surface apparatus (eg. jointing chambers) and land uses.
2. There is a lack of transparency. It is stated within the Preliminary Environment Information Report that feedback received on the Options promoted at the Statutory Consultation would be used to refine the route planning and site selection process further and also that the route was further refined following feedback from the Statutory Consultation however, we were notified prior to the close of the Statutory Consultation that the route had been decided. Therefore all feedback from the consultation cannot have been considered prior to making the decision.
3. The two companies promoting the DCO are purporting to work together (which they are in respect of the promotion of the DCO) but there does not appear to be any commitment to work together during the construction. If the Planning Inspectorate are minded to grant consent we would request that they impose conditions on the promoters to work together further in terms of route location within the corridor defined to ensure that both routes are located as close together as possible to avoid creating a blighted strip of land and also in terms of timing of works.
4. We believe that whilst there is a proposed Code of Construction Practice there is no absolute commitment that this will be strictly complied with and we request that the Planning Inspectorate impose a condition on the Developers requiring them to comply with the Code of Construction Practice.
5. We are concerned regarding defects to the Code of Construction Practice and specifically highlight inter alia the requirement for a strict prohibition on the pumping out/dewatering onto adjoining land and or allowing water to flow from the construction site onto adjoining land, the proposed storage heights for topsoil is too high leading to defects in the structure of the topsoil and we also suggest a strict prohibition on the removal of topsoil from any one Landowners property.

### North Lancashire

Market Place, Garstang, Preston, PR3 1ZA  
T 01995 603 180 E garstang@abarnett.co.uk

### Cumbria

19 Kirkland, Kendal, Cumbria LA9 5AF  
T 01539 751 993 E kendal@abarnett.co.uk

### South Lancashire

59 Liverpool Road North, Burscough, L40 0SA  
T 01704 895 995 E burscough@abarnett.co.uk

### Ribble Valley

5 Church Street, Clitheroe, Lancashire, BB7 2DD  
T 01200 411 155 E clitheroe@abarnett.co.uk

Armitstead Barnett LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No. OC350445. Registered Office: Dalton House, 9 Dalton Square, Lancaster, LA1 1WD. Any use of the term 'Partner' indicates an individual who is a member of Armitstead Barnett LLP and does not indicate that a partnership exists for the purposes of The Partnership Act 1890. A full list of members is available at our registered office.



6. The proposed routing of the Morgan cable corridor will have a severe effect of our client as almost 50% of her land will be required/made unusable for the that scheme. The Morecambe Scheme has a far lesser effect and we believe that there is opportunity for the Morecambe Scheme to be taken out of our clients land entirely. There is no provision of alternative land for the Landowner who will need to find alternative accommodation for her horses for a period of potentially up to 5 and a half years.

E&OE We reserve the right to amend or add to this submission.